











1. Brief Background on Who and Where the Poor Households Are




Figure 1 shows the magnitude of poor households within the eighteen (22) municipalities of this province. Out of 114,945 households, 46,956 were identified as poor. ³ The city of Tarlac listed to have the highest number of poor households with 9,309 or 19.82% .It is followed by Concepcion with 5,098 or 10.86% and Capas with 4,654 or 9.91%.⁴

Figure 1




Figure 2 shows the income distribution of poor households within this province. All of the identified poor households within this province (46,956) were found to earn below the annual per capita poverty threshold of PHP16,463 (the needed income for a family of six members to be out of poverty) ^{5.} This means that a poor household with six (6) members earns PHP45.13 per day. There were no poor household that were found to have an income which is above or equal to the average annual per capita income.

³ See attached Table 1.1 for Number of Households Assessed and Identified Poor Households

⁴ See attached Table 1. 2 for the NHTS-PR list of Municipalities of the province of Pampanga in terms of Magnitude of Poor Households

⁵ Official 2006 Annual Per Capita Poverty Threshold. (based on old methodology) by NSCB; Region III Annual Per Capita Threshold is P15,538.00 – P17,830.00



The poor households in Region III whose income are way below the thresholds can be correlated with the type of occupation they are engaged in and their highest level of education attained.

Table A. Primary Occupation	by Educational	Attainment (A	Ages 15 years old	l and above)						
	Educational Attainment									
Primary Occupation/Business	No Grade Completed	Kinder or	Elementary (Any Level)	High School (Any Level)	College Level and Above (Any Level)	Total				
Service Workers and Shops and market Sales Workers	11	1	679	1,769	363	2,823				
Farmers, Forestry Workers and Fishermen	641	56	8,245	6,142	574	15,658				
Traders and elated Workers	4	,	373	703	129	1,209				
Plants and Machine Operators and Assemblers	9	2	811	1,515	278	2,615				
Laborers and Unskilled Workers	1,493	79	23,679	22,828	1,965	50,044				
None	2,231	165	29,786	41,788	4,005	77,975				
Not Applicable	0	0	0	0	0	-				

The table above shows the relationship between educational attainment and occupation. Majority of the members of the poor households who were not able to finish any level in elementary or high school education have no work (71,574) or land jobs in the agricultural community (14,387) or as labourers and unskilled workers (46,507).

2. Who and where the poor households are in terms of household composition?

The average household size among identified poor households is six (6) members. The total number of poor individuals within this province is 279,507. Of these, 133,276 are women whereas 146,231 are men. It can also be regarded that children comprised a large portion of the population of poor individuals with 52.48% percentage share.

The combined sector of women and children constituted almost half of the individual population of the poor. The sector of the children constituted the largest part of the total number of poor individuals with 52.48% percentage share followed by the women sector which comprised the 47.68% of the total population of the poor individuals.⁷ The levels of different sectors are presented as follows:




Figure 3

Figure 3 shows the number of children within this province whose ages were below 18 .The municipality of Tarlac, Concepcion and Capas were recorded to have the largest number of children in poor households. The percentage shares of poor children in these municipalities were 53.08%, 56.08% and 55.90% respectively.

Out of 117,864 children whose ages are within the interval 3 to 18 years, there were 39,957 or 33.90% who were not attending school. The city of Tarlac were recorded to have the largest number of poor children who are not attending school with 8,660 or 35.84%, followed by the municipality of Capas with 5,111 or 39.84% and municipality of Concepcion with 4,812 or 32.54%. In terms of educational attainment of poor children, 41.40% have reached elementary grade and only 16.02% were able to attain high school level.

⁷ See attached Table 1.4 for the Magnitude of Poor Among Basic Sector



b. Women Sector




Figure 4

One attribution to the data on occupation could be in educational attainment of women in poor households. About 19.62% of women in poor households were not able to finish or complete any grade level at all, and 17.39% are able to finish elementary grade as well as 18.23% were able to finish high school.

This shows that majority or 58,790 or 44.11% of the women in poor households were not able to complete either an elementary, high school or a college degree but was able to reach a certain level in either education level.

The sector of women among the poor households constituted the 133,276 or 47.68% of the total number of poor individuals within this province.

The women sector in the age range of 15 years old and above are mostly engaged as laborers and/or unskilled workers (16.70%),farmers, forestry workers and fishermen (3.09%). Another data that is note worthy is the high magnitude of women in poor households declaring no occupation (76.99%)



c. Persons with Disability (PWD), Senior Citizens and Indigenous Peoples (IP) Group

There were 4,655 poor households with members who were found to have disability within this province. At an average, 665 households have a member who has any of the following disabilities e.g. hearing and visual impairment, speech defect, orthopedic, multiple disabilities, mentally challenged and other disability.⁸

See attached Table 3.3 for Number of Poor Households by Type of Disability



The individual senior citizens of the poor households comprised the 9,340 or 3.14% of the total number of individuals within this province. Mostly they are found within the municipality of Tarlac, Gerona and Concepcion.






Figure 7

There are 2,429 poor households within this province who considered themselves as part of an indigenous people (IP) group. This constituted only a small part of the total poor households with only 3.49% percentage share. They were mostly found within the municipality of Capas, San Jose and San Clemente

3. Who and where the poor households are in terms of educational attainment




Figure 9



Majority or 66% of poor individuals of ages 3-18 years old within this province were attending school, they were mostly found within the municipality of Tarlac, Capaz and Concepcion. ⁹

The poor households put importance to education as evidenced by their attendance to school. Looking into age by level of schooling, 91.61% of of the poor individuals whose ages were within the interval 6-11 years were attending elementary, 61.57% of the poor individuals whose ages were within the interval 12-15 years were attending high school, and 12.68% of the poor individuals whose ages were within the interval 16-18 years were attending collegiate level. Notable is that 64.93% of the total poor households with school age children attends public school.

The highest educational attainment of poor individuals within this province were significant in the levels of no grade completed (20.10%) and elementary (17.88%). Majority of the poor households within this province had no grade completed. High concentration of these poor individuals can be found within the municipality of Tarlac, Capas and Concepcion.

4. Who and where the poor households are in terms of occupation?




Figure 10

The poor households source their income either as labourers to different menial jobs with 50,050 or 33.05%, through farming and fishing with 15,659 or 10.34% and service workers with 2,825 or 1.87%.

Quite notable is the number of members of the poor households declaring no occupation comprising to 51.50%, (given that the age range is 15 years old and above). ¹⁰

Majority of the members of poor households within this province who have declared no occupation were found within the municipality of Tarlac, Concepcion and Capas.


⁹ See attached Table 4.1 for Number of Poor Individuals Age 3-18 years old Attending School

 $^{^{10}}$ See attached Table 5.1 for Primary Occupation/Business Age 15 years old and above



5. Household Features of Poor Households

Out of the 46,956 poor households within this province, 11,710 or 24.94% have roof that were made up of light materials (cogon, nipa or bamboo). Same is true for the outer walls, 19,557 or 41.65% of the poor households have walls made up of light materials. Based on these data, 10,191 or 21.70% of the poor households within this province were considered to be vulnerable to natural/manmade disasters as they used light construction materials on the roofs and outer walls of their housing units.¹¹






Figure 11 Figure 12




Figure 13

In terms of tenure status, out of the 55,328 poor households within this province, 24,514 or 52.21% have their *Own House but Rented Free Lot with Consent of the Owner*. These poor households can be mostly found in the municipality of Angeles with 61.27%, Candaba with 44.06% and Arayat with 42.13%. ¹²

Furthermore, this situation is aggravated as poor households' minimal access to basic service facilities is also high (see Table B)

¹¹See attached Table 2.3 for the Type of Roof and Outer Walls of the Housing Units Occupied by Poor Households

¹² See attached Table 2.5 Type of Tenure Status Occupied by Poor Households



Number of Poor Household by Type of Toilet Facility and Main Water Source

WATER SUPPLY	TOILET FACILTY								
	TOTAL	Water- Sealed	Closed Pit	Open Pit	Others (Pail)	None			
Safe Water Source	51,575	29,212	2,904	1,663	1,582	16,214			
No Safe Water Source	3,753	1,229	127	119	187	2,091			

For water and sanitary toilet facilities, it can be noted that the magnitude of poor households within this province with safe water source is relatively high at 93.22% and that access to sanitary toilet is also fairly high with 60.50%. However, the number of poor households with no safe water source combined with unsanitary toilet practices¹⁵ is 2,397 or 4.33% of the total number of identified poor households.




Figure 13

Majority or 76.38% of the poor households within this province have access to electricity, whereas 23.62% of the poor households have no access to electricity. The areas with still no access to electricity based on the number of poor households identified were found in the municipality of Porac (39.19%), Angeles (28.22%) and Candaba (26.78%).

¹³ Safe Water Source refers to Own Use/Shared, Faucet, Community Water Supply, Tubed/Piped Well, NSO

¹⁴ Unsafe Water Source refers to Dug Well, Spring, River, Stream, etc., Collected Rainfall, Peddler, NSO.

¹⁵ Unsanitary Toilet refers to Open Pit, Others (Pail, etc.) and None, NSO.







Figure 14

The top three programs/services that the poor households within this province have received from different LGUs and NGOs were: Philhealth Cards with 18,337 or 33.84%, Subsidized Rice with 8,434 or 15.56% and Other services with 4,431 or 8.18%. However, it is quite alarming that 25,650 or 47.34% of the identified poor households within this province do not received any programs or services from the government, LGUs and NGOs. ¹⁶

Certain conveniences are also part of the features of the poor households. Assets such as Television, VCD/DVD, and Furniture, Stereo/CD Player, and Telephone/Cell phone are but a few of the poor households owned assets.¹⁷



It is quite interesting that the priority of our poor households is entertainment (see Figure 15).

As presented in this paper, this poverty profile of the NHTS-PR identified poor households is based on existing NHTS-PR data as of July 2011. This poverty profile did not only focus the beam on the poor, it also attempted to emphasize the relevance and importance of identifying who and where the poor are and understanding their conditions and characteristics.

Figure 15

¹⁶ See attached Table 6.2 for Number of Poor Households by Programs and Services Availed

¹⁷ See attached Table 2.7 for Number of Poor Households by Ownership of Assets



NHTS-PR PROFILE OF THE POOR

Source Tables



"Without data, without facts, without information, any discussion about human conditions is just another opinion."



1. Magnitude

Table 1.1 Number of HHs Assessed and Identified Poor HHs

Tubic III	Trainiber of fills		entineu Poor HHS
Tarlac	Households Assessed	Identified Poor HHs	Municipalitywide Share
Municipality	114,945	46,956	100.00%
ANAO	1,437	458	0.98%
BAMBAN	4,452	1,440	3.07%
CAMILING	4,843	1,888	4.02%
CAPAS	12,850	4,654	9.91%
CONCEPCION	13,401	5,098	10.86%
GERONA	8,444	3,686	7.85%
LA PAZ	7,243	2,603	5.54%
MAYANTOC	3,290	1,846	3.93%
MONCADA	5,243	2,341	4.99%
PANIQUI	7,372	2,759	5.88%
PURA	1,725	769	1.64%
RAMOS	2,469	1,101	2.34%
SAN CLEMENTE	1,369	640	1.36%
SAN MANUEL	3,142	1,116	2.38%
SANTA IGNACIA	3,182	1,517	3.23%
CITY OF TARLAC			
(Capital)	23,311	9,309	19.82%
VICTORIA	5,179	2,418	5.15%
SAN JOSE	5,993	3,313	7.06%



Table 1.2 NHTS-PR Top 10 Municipalities in Terms of Magnitude of Poor HHs

		magintal action in is
Rank	Municipality	Number of Poor HHs
1	CITY OF TARLAC (Capital)	9,309
2	CONCEPCION	5,098
3	CAPAS	4,654
4	GERONA	3,686
5	SAN JOSE	3,313
6	PANIQUI	2,759
7	LA PAZ	2,603
8	VICTORIA	2,418
9	MONCADA	2,341
10	CAMILING	1,888



Table 1.3 Income distribution of the Poor Households

Table 1.3 income distribution of the Poor Households									
Tarlac	Total	Decile 1 (0-10,060)	Decile 2 (10,060.0 1-13,363)	Decile 3 (13,363.01- 16,768)	Decile 4 (16,768.0 1-20,752)	Decile 5 (20,752.01- 25,839)			
Municipality	46,956	9,358	16,980	20,618	0	О			
ANAO	458	50	168	240	0	О			
BAMBAN	1,440	315	525	600	0	О			
CAMILING	1,888	370	656	862	0	0			
CAPAS	4,654	1,071	1,676	1,907	0	0			
CITY OF TARLAC (Capital)	9,309	1,842	3,323	4,144	0	0			
CONCEPCION	5,098	851	1,815	2,432	0	0			
GERONA	3,686	565	1,363	1,758	0	0			
LA PAZ	2,603	432	926	1,245	0	0			
MAYANTOC	1,846	457	680	709	0	О			
MONCADA	2,341	442	880	1,019	0	0			
PANIQUI	2,759	404	982	1,373	0	0			
PURA	769	126	282	361	0	О			
RAMOS	1,101	191	420	490	0	О			
SAN CLEMENTE	640	147	228	265	0	0			
SAN JOSE	3,313	979	1,161	1,173	0	0			
SAN MANUEL	1,116	191	402	523	0	0			
SANTA IGNACIA	1,517	373	574	570	0	0			
VICTORIA	2,418	552	919	947	0	0			



Table 1.4 Magnitude of Poor Among Basic Sectors

	Table 1.4 Magnitude of Poor Among Basic Sectors											
						Basic	Sector					
	TOTAL	WO	MEN	forest	Farmers, Youth (Age 15 Content of the foresty and to 30 years old) Fisher Folks (Age 15 Years old and above)		, –		Below 18 years		-	
Tarlac	INDIVIDUAL			(Ag Year			old)		Above)			
Municipality	279,507	133,276	47.68%	15,659	5.60%	72,589	25.97%	6,694	52.48%	9,340	3.34%	
ANAO	2,533	1,256	49.59%	307	12.12%	655	25.86%	1,204	47.53%	123	4.86%	
BAMBAN	9,792	4,660	47.59%	427	4.36%	2,332	23.82%	5,645	57.65%	259	2.65%	
CAMILING	11,164	5,258	47.10%	398	3.57%	2,721	24.37%	5,944	53.24%	407	3.65%	
CAPAS	28,787	13,639	47.38%	672	2.33%	7,537	26.18%	16,091	55.90%	625	2.17%	
CONCEPCION	32,555	15,671	48.14%	307	0.94%	7,826	24.04%	18,258	56.08%	745	2.29%	
GERONA	20,169	9,655	47.87%	2,362	11.71%	5,241	25.99%	9,764	48.41%	895	4.44%	
LA PAZ	16,466	7,934	48.18%	180	1.09%	4,192	25.46%	8,845	53.72%	469	2.85%	
MAYANTOC	9,812	4,633	47.22%	2,437	24.84%	2,610	26.60%	4,768	48.59%	469	4.78%	
MONCADA	13,787	6,535	47.40%	208	1.51%	3,506	25.43%	7,111	51.58%	569	4.13%	
PANIQUI	16,223	7,694	47.43%	613	3.78%	4,279	26.38%	8,053	49.64%	683	4.21%	
PURA	4,437	2,158	48.64%	279	6.29%	1,230	27.72%	2,099	47.31%	220	4.96%	
RAMOS	6,512	3,100	47.60%	268	4.12%	1,691	25.97%	3,304	50.74%	257	3.95%	
SAN CLEMENTE	3,510	1,694	48.26%	663	18.89%	987	28.12%	1,696	48.32%	152	4.33%	
SAN MANUEL	6,615	3,162	47.80%	251	3.79%	1,746	26.39%	3,357	50.75%	246	3.72%	
SANTA IGNACIA	8,292	3,929	47.38%	1,147	13.83%	2,228	26.87%	4,127	49.77%	344	4.15%	
CITY OF TARLAC (Capital)	56,819	27,102	47.70%	1,080	1.90%	14,888	26.20%	30,159	53.08%	1,797	3.16%	
VICTORIA	14,113	6,740	47.76%	1,599	11.33%	3,731	26.44%	7,103	50.33%	578	4.10%	
SAN JOSE	17,921	8,456	47.18%	2,461	13.73%	5,189	28.95%	9,166	51.15%	502	2.80%	



2. Housing Condition

Table 2.1 Type of Construction Material Used for the Roof of Housing Units Occupied by Poor Household

. oo noastaa											
Tarlac	Total	Strong Materials		Light Ma	terials	Salvage Materials					
Municipality	46,956	23,337	49.70%	11,710	24.94%	1,535	3.27%				
ANAO	458	213	46.51%	136	29.69%	2	0.44%				
BAMBAN	1,440	768	53.33%	285	19.79%	40	2.78%				
CAMILING	1,888	1,183	62.66%	391	20.71%	34	1.80%				
CAPAS	4,654	1,383	29.72%	2,277	48.93%	128	2.75%				
CITY OF TARLAC (Capital)	9,309	5,839	62.72%	1,344	14.44%	338	3.63%				
CONCEPCION	5,098	2,808	55.08%	985	19.32%	236	4.63%				
GERONA	3,686	1,354	36.73%	1,027	27.86%	192	5.21%				
LA PAZ	2,603	1,307	50.21%	689	26.47%	109	4.19%				
MAYANTOC	1,846	1,145	62.03%	428	23.19%	5	0.27%				
MONCADA	2,341	1,340	57.24%	337	14.40%	97	4.14%				
PANIQUI	2,759	1,408	51.03%	598	21.67%	246	8.92%				
PURA	769	277	36.02%	162	21.07%	28	3.64%				
RAMOS	1,101	336	30.52%	351	31.88%	6	0.54%				
SAN CLEMENTE	640	397	62.03%	179	27.97%	2	0.31%				
SAN JOSE	3,313	1,340	40.45%	1,470	44.37%	7	0.21%				
SAN MANUEL	1,116	510	45.70%	264	23.66%	27	2.42%				
SANTA IGNACIA	1,517	382	25.18%	257	16.94%	22	1.45%				
VICTORIA	2,418	1,347	55.71%	530	21.92%	16	0.66%				



Table 2.1 Type of Construction Material Used for the Roof of Housing Units Occupied by Poor Household

Tarlac	Total	Mixed but Predomi- nantly Strong Ma- terials			t Materi-	Mixed but Pre- dominantly Sal- vage Materials					
Municipality	46,956	6,124	13.04%	3,792	8.08%	458	0.98%				
ANAO	458	73	15.94%	32	6.99%	2	0.44%				
BAMBAN	1,440	213	14.79%	91	6.32%	43	2.99%				
CAMILING	1,888	136	7.20%	135	7.15%	9	0.48%				
CAPAS	4,654	445	9.56%	366	7.86%	55	1.18%				
CITY OF TARLAC (Capital)	9,309	900	9.67%	782	8.40%	106	1.14%				
CONCEPCION	5,098	718	14.08%	268	5.26%	83	1.63%				
GERONA	3,686	629	17.06%	449	12.18%	35	0.95%				
LA PAZ	2,603	259	9.95%	204	7.84%	35	1.34%				
MAYANTOC	1,846	222	12.03%	44	2.38%	2	0.11%				
MONCADA	2,341	309	13.20%	247	10.55%	11	0.47%				
PANIQUI	2,759	315	11.42%	150	5.44%	42	1.52%				
PURA	769	208	27.05%	93	12.09%	1	0.13%				
RAMOS	1,101	273	24.80%	128	11.63%	7	0.64%				
SAN CLEMENTE	640	36	5.63%	26	4.06%	0	0.00%				
SAN JOSE	3,313	344	10.38%	148	4.47%	4	0.12%				
SAN MANUEL	1,116	203	18.19%	101	9.05%	11	0.99%				
SANTA IGNACIA	1,517	537	35.40%	318	20.96%	1	0.07%				
VICTORIA	2,418	304	12.57%	210	8.68%	11	0.45%				



Table 2.2 Type of Construction Material Used for the OuterWall of Housing Units Occupied by Poor Household

		Буто	or nouser	ioiu		1	
Tarlac	Total HHs	Strong Materials		Light Materials		Salvage Materi- als	
TARLAC	46,956	11,195	23.84%	19,557	41.65%	2,561	5.45%
ANAO	458	83	18.12%	231	50.44%	8	1.75%
BAMBAN	1,440	610	42.36%	331	22.99%	41	2.85%
CAMILING	1,888	226	11.97%	1,195	63.29%	88	4.66%
CAPAS	4,654	987	21.21%	2,467	53.01%	194	4.17%
CITY OF TARLAC							
(Capital)	9,309	3,259	35.01%	2,445	26.26%	609	6.54%
CONCEPCION	5,098	1,512	29.66%	1,558	30.56%	420	8.24%
GERONA	3,686	498	13.51%	1,534	41.62%	278	7.54%
LA PAZ	2,603	716	27.51%	1,022	39.26%	153	5.88%
MAYANTOC	1,846	351	19.01%	1,136	61.54%	25	1.35%
MONCADA	2,341	377	16.10%	1,113	47.54%	150	6.41%
PANIQUI	2,759	480	17.40%	1,169	42.37%	352	12.76%
PURA	769	112	14.56%	243	31.60%	59	7.67%
RAMOS	1,101	225	20.44%	381	34.60%	22	2.00%
	_		401				
SAN CLEMENTE	640	122	19.06%	413	64.53%	16	2.50%
SAN JOSE	3,313	525	15.85%	2,096	63.27%	19	0.57%
SAN MANUEL	1,116	244	21.86%	453	40.59%	42	3.76%
SANTA IGNACIA	1,517	185	12.20%	903	59.53%	38	2.50%
VICTORIA	2,418	683	28.25%	867	35.86%	47	1.94%



Table 2.2 Type of Construction Material Used for the OuterWall of Housing Units Occupied by Poor Household

by Fooi flousefloid											
Tarlac	Total HHs	Mixed but Predom- inantly Strong Ma- terials		Mixed bu dominant Mater	ly Light	Mixed but Pre- dominantly Sal- vage Materials					
TARLAC	46,956	7,773	16.55%	4,981	10.61%	889	1.89%				
ANAO	458	86	18.78%	40	8.73%	10	2.18%				
BAMBAN	1,440	259	17.99%	143	9.93%	56	3.89%				
CAMILING	1,888	198	10.49%	161	8.53%	20	1.06%				
CAPAS	4,654	500	10.74%	426	9.15%	80	1.72%				
CITY OF TARLAC											
(Capital)	9,309	1,494	16.05%	1,191	12.79%	311	3.34%				
CONCEPCION	5,098	1,030	20.20%	468	9.18%	110	2.16%				
GERONA	3,686	801	21.73%	511	13.86%	64	1.74%				
LA PAZ	2,603	331	12.72%	329	12.64%	52	2.00%				
MAYANTOC	1,846	262	14.19%	70	3.79%	2	0.11%				
MONCADA	2,341	369	15.76%	308	13.16%	24	1.03%				
PANIQUI	2,759	470	17.04%	220	7.97%	68	2.46%				
PURA	769	213	27.70%	139	18.08%	3	0.39%				
RAMOS	1,101	297	26.98%	165	14.99%	11	1.00%				
		_									
SAN CLEMENTE	640	55	8.59%	30	4.69%	4	0.63%				
SAN JOSE	3,313	436	13.16%	220	6.64%	17	0.51%				
SAN MANUEL	1,116	238	21.33%	131	11.74%	8	0.72%				
SANTA IGNACIA	1,517	238	15.69%	138	9.10%	15	0.99%				
VICTORIA	2,418	496	20.51%	291	12.03%	34	1.41%				



Table 2.3 Type of Construction Materials of Roof and Outer Walls of the Housing Unit Occupied by Poor Households

	-,					
		(Outer Wall M	aterials		
Roof Materials	Strong Material		Light Material		Salvage Material	
Strong Material	10,361	22.07%	243	0.52%	27	0.06%
Light Material	6,605	14.07%	10,191	21.70%	160	0.34%
Salvage Material	639	1.36%	401	0.85%	1,257	2.68%
Mixed but Predominantly						
Strong Material	3,771	8.03%	372	0.79%	34	0.07%
Mixed but Predominantly						
Light Material	1,702	3.62%	392	0.83%	41	0.09%
Mixed but Predominantly Sal-						
vage Material	259	0.55%	111	0.24%	16	0.03%



Table 2.3 Type of Construction Materials of Roof and Outer Walls of the Housing Unit Occupied by Poor Households

			Outer Wall M	laterials		
					Mixed but	
Roof Materials			Mixed but Predomi-		Predominantly	
			nantly Light	nantly Light Materials		alvage
					Materials	
Strong Material	438	0.93%	117	0.25%	9	0.02%
Light Material	1,470	3.13%	1,093	2.33%	38	0.08%
Salvage Material	134	0.29%	99	0.21%	31	0.07%
Mixed but Predominantly						
Strong Material	3,358	7.15%	218	0.46%	20	0.04%
Mixed but Predominantly						
Light Material	666	1.42%	2,157	4.59%	23	0.05%
Mixed but Predominantly		,	, , ,			
Salvage Material	58	0.12%	108	0.23%	337	0.72%



Table 2.4 Type of Building/House Occupied by Poor Households

Tarlac	Total HHs	Single	· House	Duplex		Apartment/ Condomini- ums/ Townhouse		Industrial/ Agricultural House		Other Housing			NA
Municipality	46,956	43,259	92.13%	3369	7.17%	160	0.34%	101	0.22%	67	0.14%	0	0.00%
ANAO	458	449	98.03%	8	1.75%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	0.22%	0	0.00%
BAMBAN	1,440	1,352	93.89%	65	4.51%	21	1.46%	1	0.07%	1	0.07%	0	0.009
CAMILING	1,888	1,748	92.58%	131	6.94%	1	0.05%	3	0.16%	5	0.26%	0	0.00%
CAPAS	4,654	4,356	93.60%	250	5.37%	34	0.73%	9	0.19%	5	0.11%	0	0.009
CITY OF TARLAC					14.70								
(Capital)	9,309	7,860	84.43%	1,368	%	20	0.21%	24	0.26%	37	0.40%	0	0.00%
CONCEP-													
CION	5,098	4,639	91.00%	418	8.20%	28	0.55%	7	0.14%	6	0.12%	0	0.00%
GERONA	3,686	3,450	93.60%	225	6.10%	1	0.03%	8	0.22%	2	0.05%	0	0.00%
LA PAZ	2,603	2,262	86.90%	291	11.18%	43	1.65%	4	0.15%	3	0.12%	0	0.00%
MAYANTOC	1,846	1,788	96.86%	53	2.87%	0	0.00%	4	0.22%	1	0.05%	0	0.00%
MONCADA	2,341	2,308	98.59%	24	1.03%	0	0.00%	9	0.38%	0	0.00%	0	0.009
PANIQUI	2,759	2,627	95.22%	119	4.31%	2	0.07%	8	0.29%	3	0.11%	0	0.00%
PURA	769	721	93.76%	45	5.85%	2	0.26%	1	0.13%	0	0.00%	0	0.009
RAMOS	1,101	1,023	92.92%	72	6.54%	3	0.27%	3	0.27%	0	0.00%	0	0.009
SAN													
CLEMENTE	640	602	94.06%	37	5.78%	0	0.00%	1	0.16%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SAN JOSE	3,313	3,269	98.67%	38	1.15%	2	0.06%	4	0.12%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SAN MA-													
NUEL	1,116	1,053	94.35%	55	4.93%	0	0.00%	7	0.63%	1	0.09%	0	0.009
SANTA													
IGNACIA	1,517	1,464	96.51%	43	2.83%	2	0.13%	6	0.40%	2	0.13%	0	0.00%
VICTORIA	2,418	2,288	94.62%	127	5.25%	1	0.04%	2	0.08%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%



Table 2.5 Type of Tenure Status Occupied by Poor Households

	Table 2.5 Type of Tenure Status Occupied by Poor Households												
Tarlac	Total HHS	Lot		Rent House and Lot		Own House/ Rent Lot		Own House; Re Free Lot with Consent					
TARLAC	46,956	15,312	32.61%	324	0.69%	1,697	3.61%	24,514	52.21%				
ANAO	458	119	25.98%	5	1.09%	11	2.40%	286	62.45%				
BAMBAN	1,440	1,086	75.42%	36	2.50%	7	0.49%	205	14.24%				
CAMILING	1,888	478	25.32%	12	0.64%	43	2.28%	1,135	60.12%				
CAPAS	4,654	2,817	60.53%	44	0.95%	85	1.83%	1,280	27.50%				
CONCEPCION	5,098	1,739	34.11%	25	0.49%	96	1.88%	2,453	48.12%				
GERONA	3,686	1,171	31.77%	27	0.73%	186	5.05%	1,996	54.15%				
LA PAZ	2,603	510	19.59%	16	0.61%	350	13.45%	1,404	53-94%				
MAYANTOC	1,846	607	32.88%	7	0.38%	22	1.19%	1,005	54.44%				
MONCADA	2,341	583	24.90%	5	0.21%	17	0.73%	1,388	59.29%				
PANIQUI	2,759	833	30.19%	18	0.65%	45	1.63%	1,550	56.18%				
PURA	769	260	33.81%	2	0.26%	30	3.90%	394	51.24%				
RAMOS	1,101	423	38.42%	3	0.27%	45	4.09%	537	48.77%				
SAN CLEMENTE	640	247	38.59%	3	0.47%	2	0.31%	309	48.28%				
SAN JOSE	3,313	900	27.17%	9	0.27%	380	11.47%	1,724	52.04%				
SAN MANUEL	1,116	642	57-53%	7	0.63%	13	1.16%	359	32.17%				
SANTA IGNACIA	1,517	379	24.98%	7	0.46%	24	1.58%	970	63.94%				
CITY OF TARLAC													
(Capital)	9,309	1,882	20.22%	87	0.93%	174	1.87%	6,105	65.58%				
VICTORIA	2,418	636	26.30%	11	0.45%	167	6.91%	1,414	58.48%				

/0 /0 /0 /0

/0 /0 /0 /0 /0 /0 /0 /0



Table 2.5 Type of Tenure Status Occupied by Poor Households

Table 2.5 Type of Tenure Status Occupied by Pool Households											
Tarlac	Total HHS	Consent		Rent Fre and Lot V se	Vith Con-	House Witho	t Free and Lot out Con- ent				
TARLAC	46,956	1,078 2.30%		3,899	8.30%	132	0.28%				
ANAO	458	4	0.87 %	33	7.21%	0	0.00%				
BAMBAN	1,440	29	2.01%	77	5-35%	0	0.00%				
CAMILING	1,888	29	1.54%	190	10.06%	1	0.05%				
CAPAS	4,654	77	1.65%	341	7-33%	10	0.21%				
CONCEPCION	5,098	130	2.55%	643	12.61%	12	0.24%				
GERONA	3,686	59	1.60%	242	6.57%	5	0.14%				
LA PAZ	2,603	103	3.96%	204	7.84%	16	0.61%				
MAYANTOC	1,846	17	0.92%	185	10.02%	3	0.16%				
MONCADA	2,341	123	5.25%	216	9.23%	9	0.38%				
PANIQUI	2,759	54	1.96%	248	8.99%	11	0.40%				
PURA	769	8	1.04%	75	9.75%	0	0.00%				
RAMOS	1,101	6	0.54%	86	7. 81%	1	0.09%				
SAN CLEMENTE	640	6	0.94%	73	11.41%	0	0.00%				
SAN JOSE	3,313	108	3.26%	179	5.40%	13	0.39%				
SAN MANUEL	1,116	7	0.63%	85	7.62%	3	0.27%				
SANTA IGNACIA	1,517	13	0.86%	124	8.17%	0	0.00%				
CITY OF TARLAC											
(Capital)	9,309	289	3.10%	732	7.86%	40	0.43%				
VICTORIA	2,418	16	0.66%	166	6.87%	8	0.33%				



Table 2.6 Number of Poor Household With and Without Access to Electricity

Tarlac	Total HHs	With Ele	ectricity	Without E	Electricity
Municipality	46,956	32,006	68.16%	14,950	31.84%
ANAO	458	297	64.85%	161	35.15%
BAMBAN	1,440	1,141	79.24%	299	20.76%
CAMILING	1,888	1,140	60.38%	748	39.62%
CAPAS	4,654	3,050	65.54%	1,604	34.46%
CITY OF TARLAC (Capital)	9,309	7,425	79.76%	1,884	20.24%
CONCEPCION	5,098	4,428	86.86%	670	13.14%
GERONA	3,686	2,360	64.03%	1,326	35.97%
LA PAZ	2,603	2,105	80.87%	498	19.13%
MAYANTOC	1,846	1,015	54.98%	831	45.02%
MONCADA	2,341	1,219	52.07%	1,122	47.93%
PANIQUI	2,759	1,799	65.20%	960	34.80%
PURA	769	474	61.64%	295	38.36%
RAMOS	1,101	724	65.76%	377	34.24%
SAN CLEMENTE	640	282	44.06%	358	55.94%
SAN JOSE	3,313	1,540	46.48%	1,773	53.52%
SAN MANUEL	1,116	582	52.15%	534	47.85%
SANTA IGNACIA	1,517	699	46.08%	818	53.92%
VICTORIA	2,418	1,726	71.38%	692	28.62%



Table 2. 7 Number of Poor Household by Ownership of Assets

		,				,					
Tarlac	Total HHs	Televisi	on Set	VTR/VHS/VCD/DVD		Stereo/CD Player		Refrigerator/ Freezer		Washing Ma- chine	
Municipality	46,956	24,911	53.05%	7,915	16.86%	7,334	15.62%	152	0.32%	668	1.42%
ANAO	458	256	55.90%	103	22.49%	100	21.83%	2	0.44%	4	0.87%
BAMBAN	1,440	1,028	71.39%	425	29.51%	437	30.35%	8	0.56%	45	3.13%
CAMILING	1,888	970	51.38%	283	14.99%	411	21.77%	8	0.42%	19	1.01%
CAPAS	4,654	2,299	49.40%	674	14.48%	726	15.60%	19	0.41%	131	2.81%
CONCEPCION	5,098	3,491	68.48%	888	17.42%	887	17.40%	16	0.31%	153	3.00%
GERONA	3,686	1,793	48.64%	658	17.85%	564	15.30%	9	0.24%	20	0.54%
LA PAZ	2,603	1,643	63.12%	588	22.59%	551	21.17%	4	0.15%	67	2.57%
MAYANTOC	1,846	872	47.24%	295	15.98%	332	17.98%	14	0.76%	4	0.22%
MONCADA	2,341	992	42.38%	250	10.68%	196	8.37%	4	0.17%	11	0.47%
PANIQUI	2,759	1,337	48.46%	365	13.23%	438	15.88%	10	0.36%	11	0.40%
PURA	769	393	51.11%	144	18.73%	203	26.40%	1	0.13%	7	0.91%
RAMOS	1,101	582	52.86%	198	17.98%	266	24.16%	4	0.36%	6	0.54%
SAN CLEMENTE	640	285	44.53%	85	13.28%	99	15.47%	2	0.31%	1	0.16%
SAN MANUEL	1,116	514	46.06%	175	15.68%	121	10.84%	2	0.18%	6	0.54%
SANTA IGNACIA	1,517	689	45.42%	258	17.01%	462	30.45%	9	0.59%	4	0.26%
CITY OF TARLAC (Capital)	9,309	5,136	55.17%	1,534	16.48%	942	10.12%	22	0.24%	131	1.41%
VICTORIA	2,418	1,293	53.47%	582	24.07%	216	8.93%	10	0.41%	31	1.28%
SAN JOSE	3,313	1,338	40.39%	410	12.38%	383	11.56%	8	0.24%	17	0.51%



Table 2. 7 Number of Poor Household by Ownership of Assets

Tarlac	Total HHs	tioning		Living Roo Se		Dinin	g Set	Car, Jeep		
Municipality	46,956	39	0.08%	7,924	16.88%	7,094	15.11%	83	0.18%	
ANAO	458	0	0.00%	207	45.20%	114	24.89%	2	0.44%	
BAMBAN	1,440	0	0.00%	114	7.92%	43	2.99%	5	0.35%	
CAMILING	1,888	1	0.05%	79	4.18%	79	4.18%	0	0.00%	
CAPAS	4,654	4	0.09%	698	15.00%	852	18.31%	9	0.19%	
CONCEPCION	5,098	7	0.14%	1,217	23.87%	1,708	33.50%	12	0.24%	
	101								101	
GERONA	3,686	1	0.03%	138	3.74%	138	3.74%	6	0.16%	
LA PAZ	2,603	3	0.12%	2,079	79.87%	1,789	68.73%	8	0.31%	
MAYANTOC	1,846	0	0.00%	48	2.60%	62	3.36%	8	0.43%	
MONCADA	2,341	0	0.00%	320	13.67%	636	27.17%	3	0.13%	
PANIQUI	2,759	10	0.36%	50	1.81%	21	0.76%	4	0.14%	
PURA	769	0	0.00%	724	94.15%	375	48.76%	2	0.26%	
RAMOS	1,101	2	0.18%	716	65.03%	408	37.06%	3	0.27%	
SAN CLEMENTE	640	0	0.00%	30	4.69%	29	4.53%	4	0.63%	
SAN MANUEL	1,116	1	0.09%	27	2.42%	34	3.05%	1	0.09%	
SANTA IGNACIA	1,517	0	0.00%	37	2.44%	4	0.26%	5	0.33%	
CITY OF TARLAC										
(Capital)	9,309	5	0.05%	364	3.91%	8	1.16%	5	0.05%	
VICTORIA	2,418	2	0.08%	878	36.31%	545	22.54%	3	0.12%	
SAN JOSE	3,313	3	0.09%	198	5.98%	149	4.50%	3	0.09%	



Table 2. 7 Number of Poor Household by Ownership of Assets

Table 2. 7 Number of Foot Household by Ownership of Assets											
Tarlac	Total HHs	Telephone	/Cellphone		Personal Computer		owave	Motorcycle			
Municipality	46,956	10,421	22.19%	60	0.13%	26	0.06%	1,837	3.91%		
ANAO	458	89	19.43%	0	0.00%	1	0.22%	7	1.53%		
BAMBAN	1,440	395	27.43%	3	0.21%	0	0.00%	112	7.78%		
CAMILING	1,888	354	18.75%	2	0.11%	2	0.11%	125	6.62%		
CAPAS	4,654	971	20.86%	10	0.21%	4	0.09%	162	3.48%		
CONCEPCION	5,098	1,478	28.99%	7	0.14%	2	0.04%	273	5.36%		
GERONA	3,686	714	19.37%	5	0.14%	1	0.03%	125	3.39%		
LA PAZ	2,603	831	31.92%	7	0.27%	4	0.15%	180	6.92%		
MAYANTOC	1,846	455	24.65%	5	0.27%	1	0.05%	119	6.45%		
MONCADA	2,341	195	8.33%	1	0.04%	0	0.00%	17	0.73%		
PANIQUI	2,759	388	14.06%	1	0.04%	0	0.00%	72	2.61%		
PURA	769	244	31.73%	1	0.13%	0	0.00%	27	3.51%		
RAMOS	1,101	259	23.52%	3	0.27%	3	0.27%	22	2.00%		
SAN CLEMENTE	640	79	12.34%	1	0.16%	0	0.00%	34	5.31%		
SAN MANUEL	1,116	415	37.19%	2	0.18%	2	0.18%	26	2.33%		
SANTA IGNACIA	1,517	364	23.99%	6	0.40%	0	0.00%	68	4.48%		
CITY OF TARLAC											
(Capital)	9,309	2,251	24.18%	5	0.05%	2	0.02%	225	2.42%		
VICTORIA	2,418	595	24.61%	1	0.04%	1	0.04%	74	3.06%		
SAN JOSE	3,313	344 10.38%		0	0.00%	3	0.09%	169	5.10%		



3. HEALTH

Table 3.1 Number of Poor Household by Type of Toilet Facility

	rubic jii	Manne	. 0 00	or Household by Type of Tollet Facility							
Tarlac	Total HHs	Water-	Water-sealed		Closed Pit		en Pit	Others		None	
Municipality	46,956	24,427	52.02%	3,190	6.79%	1,309	2.79%	531	1.13%	17,499	37.27%
ANAO	458	336	73.36%	10	2.18%	3	0.66%	1	0.22%	108	23.58%
BAMBAN	1,440	957	66.46%	145	10.07%	7	0.49%	21	1.46%	310	21.53%
CAMILING	1,888	1,074	56.89%	86	4.56%	55	2.91%	12	0.64%	661	35.01%
CAPAS	4,654	2,305	49.53%	75	1.61%	159	3.42%	143	3.07%	1,972	42.37%
CITY OF TARLAC (Capital)	9,309	5,885	63.22%	122	1.31%	53	0.57%	91	0.98%	3,158	33.92%
CONCEPCION	5,098	1,801	35.33%	770	15.10%	79	1.55%	91	1.79%	2,357	46.23%
GERONA	3,686	1,980	53.72%	372	10.09%	117	3.17%	18	0.49%	1,199	32.53%
LA PAZ	2,603	1,102	42.34%	236	9.07%	85	3.27%	10	0.38%	1,170	44.95%
MAYANTOC	1,846	1,084	58.72%	127	6.88%	73	3.95%	9	0.49%	553	29.96%
MONCADA	2,341	1,136	48.53%	87	3.72%	83	3.55%	31	1.32%	1,004	42.89%
PANIQUI	2,759	1,376	49.87%	192	6.96%	167	6.05%	46	1.67%	978	35.45%
PURA	769	401	52.15%	20	2.60%	6	0.78%	2	0.26%	340	44.21%
RAMOS	1,101	446	40.51%	231	20.98%	24	2.18%	5	0.45%	395	35.88%
SAN CLEMENTE	640	352	55.00%	93	14.53%	26	4.06%	4	0.63%	165	25.78%
SAN JOSE	3,313	1,810	54.63%	243	7.33%	176	5.31%	15	0.45%	1,069	32.27%
SAN MANUEL	1,116	501	44.89%	89	7.97%	106	9.50%	2	0.18%	418	37.46%
SANTA IGNACIA	1,517	951	62.69%	47	3.10%	32	2.11%	13	0.86%	474	
VICTORIA	2,418	930	38.46%	245	10.13%	58	2.40%	17	0.70%	1,168	48.30%



Table 3.2 Number of Poor Households who have an Acess to Safe Water Source

Tarlac	Total HHs	Safe Wat	ter Source	Unsafe Wat	er Source
Municipality	46,956	45,165	96.19%	1,791	3.81%
ANAO	458	457	99.78%	1	0.22%
BAMBAN	1,440	1,231	85.49%	209	14.51%
CAMILING	1,888	1,794	95.02%	94	4.98%
CAPAS	4,654	4,312	92.65%	342	7-35%
CITY OF TARLAC (Capital)	9,309	8,780	94.32%	529	5.68%
CONCEPCION	5,098	5,092	99.88%	6	0.12%
GERONA	3,686	3,669	99.54%	17	0.46%
LA PAZ	2,603	2,591	99.54%	12	0.46%
MAYANTOC	1,846	1,743	94.42%	103	5.58%
MONCADA	2,341	2,332	99.62%	9	0.38%
PANIQUI	2,759	2,722	98.66%	37	1.34%
PURA	769	742	96.49%	27	3.51%
RAMOS	1,101	1,095	99.46%	6	0.54%
SAN CLEMENTE	640	505	78.91%	135	21.09%
SAN JOSE	3,313	3,125	94-33%	188	5.6 7%
SAN MANUEL	1,116	1,075	96.33%	41	3.67 %
SANTA IGNACIA	1,517	1,486	97.96%	31	2.04%
VICTORIA	2,418	2,414	99.83%	4	0.17%



Table 3.3 Number of poor households by type of toilet facility and safe water source

	TOTAL		TOILET FACILTY									
WATER SUPPLY		Water- Sealed	Closed Pit	Open Pit	Others (Pail)	None						
Safe Water Source	45,165	23,842	3,040	1,208	503	16,572						
No Safe Water		-0-			- 0							
Source	1,791	585	150	101	28	927						



Table 3.4 Number of Poor Households by Type of Disability

Table 5.4 Number of Foot Households by Type of Disability										
Tarlac	Total HHs	He	aring	Vi	sual	Sp	eech	Orthopedic		
Municipality	46,956	289	0.62%	768	1.64%	283	0.60%	483	1.03%	
ANAO	458	3	0.66%	8	1.75%	2	0.44%	12	2.62%	
BAMBAN	1,440	1	0.07%	7	0.49%	6	0.42%	12	0.83%	
CAMILING	1,888	23	1.22%	45	2.38%	16	0.85%	29	1.54%	
CAPAS	4,654	20	0.43%	32	0.69%	22	0.47%	26	0.56%	
CONCEPCION	5,098	11	0.22%	28	0.55%	27	0.53%	36	0.71%	
GERONA	3,686	71	1.93%	158	4.29%	13	0.35%	38	1.03%	
LA PAZ	2,603	3	0.12%	22	0.85%	22	0.85%	26	1.00%	
MAYANTOC	1,846	37	2.00%	62	3.36%	9	0.49%	28	1.52%	
MONCADA	2,341	15	0.64%	60	2.56%	16	0.68%	35	1.50%	
PANIQUI	2,759	24	0.87%	52	1.88%	19	0.69%	25	0.91%	
PURA	769	3	0.39%	14	1.82%	13	1.69%	14	1.82%	
RAMOS	1,101	6	0.54%	17	1.54%	10	0.91%	13	1.18%	
SAN CLEMENTE	640	6	0.94%	18	2.81%	3	0.47%	3	0.47%	
SAN MANUEL	1,116	3	0.27%	15	1.34%	9	0.81%	15	1.34%	
SANTA IGNACIA	1,517	12	0.79%	61	4.02%	9	0.59%	42	2.77%	
CITY OF TARLAC (Capital)	9,309	31	0.33%	98	1.05%	65	0.70%	87	0.93%	
VICTORIA	2,418	12	0.50%	30	1.24%	9	0.37%	23	0.95%	
SAN JOSE	3,313	8	0.24%	41	1.24%	13	0.39%	19	0.57%	



Tarlac	Total HHs	Multiple disa- bilities		Mental		Oth	er	No household mem- ber is disabled		
Municipality	46,956	614	1.31%	335	0.71%	1,883	4.01%	42,301	90.09%	
ANAO	458	7	1.53%	3	0.66%	15	3.28%	408	89.08%	
BAMBAN	1,440	8	0.56%	3	0.21%	15	1.04%	1,388	96.39%	
CAMILING	1,888	29	1.54%	25	1.32%	71	3.76%	1,650	87.39%	
CAPAS	4,654	34	0.73%	16	0.34%	40	0.86%	4,464	95.92%	
CONCEPCION	5,098	35	0.69%	30	0.59%	88	1.73%	4,843	95.00%	
GERONA	3,686	73	1.98%	22	0.60%	117	3.17%	3,194	86.65%	
LA PAZ	2,603	16	0.61%	29	1.11%	81	3.11%	2,404	92.35%	
MAYANTOC	1,846	54	2.93%	14	0.76%	199	10.78%	1,443	78.17%	
MONCADA	2,341	47	2.01%	33	1.41%	107	4.57%	2,028	86.63%	
PANIQUI	2,759	37	1.34%	28	1.01%	71	2.57%	2,503	90.72%	
PURA	769	12	1.56%	9	1.17%	27	3.51%	677	88.04%	
RAMOS	1,101	12	1.09%	6	0.54%	50	4.54%	987	89.65%	
SAN CLEMENTE	640	17	2.66%	3	0.47%	14	2.19%	576	90.00%	
SAN MANUEL	1,116	10	0.90%	11	0.99%	47	4.21%	1,006	90.14%	
SANTA IGNACIA	1,517	114	7.51%	17	1.12%	607	40.01%	655	43.18%	
CITY OF TARLAC (Capital)	9,309	65	0.70%	62	0.67%	156	1.68%	8,745	93.94%	
VICTORIA	2,418	21	0.87%	13	0.54%	128	5.29%	2,182	90.24%	
SAN JOSE	3,313	23	0.69%	11	0.33%	50	1.51%	3,148	95.02%	



4. EDUCATION

Table 4.1 Number of Poor Individuals age 3 to 18 year old Attending School

Tarlac		nding	Not Att	
Municipality	77,907	66.10%	39,957	33.90%
ANAO	780	78.00%	220	22.00%
BAMBAN	3,181	68.23%	1,481	31.77%
CAMILING	3,240	66.98%	1,597	33.02%
CAPAS	7,717	60.16%	5,111	39.84%
CONCEPCION	9,975	67.46%	4,812	32.54%
GERONA	5,321	68.40%	2,458	31.60%
LA PAZ	4,782	66.20%	2,442	33.80%
MAYANTOC	2,570	66.58%	1,290	33.42%
MONCADA	4,127	73.03%	1,524	26.97%
PANIQUI	4,281	68.19%	1,997	31.81%
PURA	1,221	69.97%	524	30.03%
RAMOS	1,952	73.72%	696	26.28%
SAN CLEMENTE	1,039	74.86%	349	25.14%
SAN MANUEL	1,819	70.02%	779	29.98%
SANTA IGNACIA	2,172	66.30%	1,104	33.70%
CITY OF TARLAC				
(Capital)	15,504	64.16%	8,660	35.84%
VICTORIA	3,447	63.02%	2,023	36.98%
SAN JOSE	4,779	62.32%	2,890	37.68%



Table 4.2 Type of School attended by individuals age 3-18 year old

14510 412 1		Public S		riye 3-18 year ol Private S		Attending School		
Tarlac	Total Individual	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent	
Municipality	117,835	76,514	64.93%	1,364	1.16%	39,957	33.91%	
ANAO	1,000	771	77.10%	9	0.90%	220	22.00%	
BAMBAN	4,662	3,153	67.63%	28	0.60%	1,481	31.77%	
CAMILING	4,837	3,165	65.43%	75	1.55%	1,597	33.02%	
CAPAS	12,825	7,681	59.89%	33	0.26%	5,111	39.85%	
CITY OF TARLAC								
(Capital)	24,153	15,418	63.83%	75	0.31%	8,660	35.85%	
CONCEPCION	14,784	9,950	67.30%	22	0.15%	4,812	32.55%	
GERONA	7,778	5,267	67.72%	53	0.68%	2,458	31.60%	
LA PAZ	7,224	4,753	65.79%	29	0.40%	2,442	33.80%	
MAYANTOC	3,860	2,360	61.14%	210	5.44%	1,290	33.42%	
MONCADA	5,648	4,010	71.00%	114	2.02%	1,524	26.98%	
PANIQUI	6,276	3,927	62.57%	352	5.61%	1,997	31.82%	
PURA	1,744	1,155	66.23%	65	3.73%	524	30.05%	
RAMOS	2,648	1,898	71.68%	54	2.04%	696	26.28%	
SAN CLEMENTE	1,388	1,006	72.48%	33	2.38%	349	25.14%	
SAN JOSE	7,666	4,733	61.74%	43	0.56%	2,890	37.70%	
SAN MANUEL	2,598	1,765	67.94%	54	2.08%	779	29.98%	
SANTA IGNACIA	3,276	2,094	63.92%	78	2.38%	1,104	33.70%	
VICTORIA	5,468	3,408	62.33%	37	0.68%	2,023	37.00%	



5. LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 5.1 Primary Occupation/Business age 15 year old and above

Table	- J.1 1 1 1111a	l y Occu	pation	Dusinic.	os age 1	year old and above				
Tarlac	Total Individual	Special Occupa- tion		Gov't and Special Interest Organization Officials		Profes	sionals	Technicians and Associate Pro- fessionals		
Municipality	151,417	227	0.15%	259	0.17%	188	0.12%	201	0.13%	
ANAO	1,476	2	0.14%	7	0.47%	10	0.68%	5	0.34%	
BAMBAN	4,821	13	0.27%	19	0.39%	11	0.23%	21	0.44%	
CAMILING	5,947	8	0.13%	7	0.12%	11	0.18%	11	0.18%	
CAPAS	14,670	38	0.26%	23	0.16%	13	0.09%	7	0.05%	
CONCEPCION	30,576	41	0.13%	37	0.12%	29	0.09%	50	0.16%	
GERONA	16,540	25	0.15%	17	0.10%	11	0.07%	8	0.05%	
LA PAZ	11,651	10	0.09%	31	0.27%	7	0.06%	16	0.14%	
MAYANTOC	8,714	9	0.10%	20	0.23%	6	0.07%	9	0.10%	
MONCADA	5,633	9	0.16%	10	0.18%	14	0.25%	11	0.20%	
PANIQUI	7,604	10	0.13%	8	0.11%	17	0.22%	7	0.09%	
PURA	9,185	9	0.10%	17	0.19%	12	0.13%	4	0.04%	
RAMOS	2,629	4	0.15%	6	0.23%	7	0.27%	6	0.23%	
SAN CLEMEN-										
TE	3,607	3	0.08%	9	0.25%	9	0.25%	4	0.11%	
SAN MANUEL	2,021	3	0.15%	3	0.15%	6	0.30%	1	0.05%	
SANTA IGNA-										
CIA	10,136	29	0.29%	21	0.21%	12	0.12%	20	0.20%	
CITY OF TAR-										
LAC (Capital)	3,633	1	0.03%	4	0.11%	6	0.17%	7	0.19%	
VICTORIA	4,668	2	0.04%	9	0.19%	3	0.06%	10	0.21%	
SAN JOSE	7,906	11	0.14%	11	0.14%	4	0.05%	4	0.05%	



Table 5.1 Primary Occupation/Business age 15 year old and above

	, j. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			,	8							
Tarlac	Total Indi- vidual	Service Work- ers and Shops and Market Sales workers Farmers, forest Workers and Fis ermen		Clerks		Clerks		rks ers and Shops and Market		and Fish-	Trade and Relat- ed Workers	
Municipality	151,417	197	0.13%	2,825	1.87%	15,659	10.34%	1,209	0.80%			
ANAO	1,476	4	0.27%	46	3.12%	307	20.80%	11	0.75%			
BAMBAN	4,821	9	0.19%	90	1.87%	427	8.86%	58	1.20%			
CAMILING	5,947	6	0.10%	211	3.55%	398	6.69%	51	0.86%			
CAPAS	14,670	10	0.07%	54	0.37%	672	4.58%	26	0.18%			
CONCEPCION	30,576	66	0.22%	817	2.67%	1,080	3.53%	322	1.05%			
GERONA	16,540	14	0.08%	70	0.42%	307	1.86%	72	0.44%			
LA PAZ	11,651	21	0.18%	175	1.50%	2,362	20.27%	35	0.30%			
MAYANTOC	8,714	4	0.05%	40	0.46%	180	2.07%	37	0.42%			
MONCADA	5,633	2	0.04%	85	1.51%	2,437	43.26%	31	0.55%			
PANIQUI	7,604	5	0.07%	321	4.22%	208	2.74%	149	1.96%			
PURA	9,185	14	0.15%	189	2.06%	613	6.67%	131	1.43%			
RAMOS	2,629	2	0.08%	91	3.46%	279	10.61%	25	0.95%			
SAN CLEMENTE	3,607	8	0.22%	100	2.77%	268	7.43%	49	1.36%			
SAN MANUEL	2,021	1	0.05%	59	2.92%	663	32.81%	15	0.74%			
SANTA IGNACIA	10,136	10	0.10%	313	3.09%	2,461	24.28%	57	0.56%			
CITY OF TARLAC												
(Capital)	3,633	3	0.08%	74	2.04%	251	6.91%	71	1.95%			
VICTORIA	4,668	6	0.13%	25	0.54%	1,147	24.57%	41	0.88%			
SAN JOSE	7,906	12	0.15%	65	0.82%	1,599	20.23%	28	0.35%			



Tarlac	Total Individual	Plants and Ma- chine Operators and Assemblers		Laborers and unskilled Workers		None		
Municipality	151,417	2,616	1.73%	50,050	33.05%	77,986	51.50%	
ANAO	1,476	16	1.08%	396	26.83%	672	45.53%	
BAMBAN	4,821	107	2.22%	1,473	30.55%	2,593	53.79%	
CAMILING	5,947	126	2.12%	2,153	36.20%	2,965	49.86%	
CAPAS	14,670	39	0.27%	6,817	46.47%	6,971	47.52%	
CONCEPCION	30,576	1,333	4.36%	9,777	31.98%	17,024	55.68%	
GERONA	16,540	180	1.09%	6,781	41.00%	9,055	54.75%	
LA PAZ	11,651	105	0.90%	2,830	24.29%	6,059	52.00%	
MAYANTOC	8,714	10	0.11%	3,984	45.72%	4,415	50.67%	
MONCADA	5,633	63	1.12%	962	17.08%	2,009	35.66%	
PANIQUI	7,604	100	1.32%	2,597	34.15%	4,182	55.00%	
PURA	9,185	172	1.87%	2,942	32.03%	5,082	55-33%	
RAMOS	2,629	38	1.45%	958	36.44%	1,213	46.14%	
SAN CLEMENTE	3,607	70	1.94%	1,348	37.37%	1,739	48.21%	
SAN MANUEL	2,021	11	0.54%	470	23.26%	789	39.04%	
SANTA IGNACIA	10,136	129	1.27%	2,024	19.97%	5,060	49.92%	
CITY OF TARLAC								
(Capital)	,633	57	1.57%	1,050	28.90%	2,109	58.05%	
VICTORIA	4,668	39	0.84%	1,251	26.80%	2,135	45.74%	
SAN JOSE	7,906	21	0.27%	2,237	28.29%	3,914	49.51%	



6. OTHERS

Table 6.1 Number of Poor Households who belong to Indigenuous Group of People

Tarlac	Total HHS			Number of hold not co as part IP	House- nsidered	No Answer (4Ps Set 1)*		
		Frequen- cy	Percent	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent	
Municipality	46,956	2,429	5.17%	44,527	94.83%	0	0.00%	
ANAO	458	0	0.00%	458	100.00%	0	0.00%	
BAMBAN	1,440	124	8.61%	1,316	91.39%	0	0.00%	
CAMILING	1,888	8	0.42%	1,880	99.58%	0	0.00%	
CAPAS	4,654	1257	27.01%	3,397	72.99%	0	0.00%	
CITY OF TAR- LAC (Capital)	5,098	60	1.18%	5,038	98.82%	0	0.00%	
CONCEPCION	3,686	13	0.35%	3,673	99.65%	0	0.00%	
GERONA	2,603	33	1.27%	2,570	98.73%	0	0.00%	
LA PAZ	1,846	8	0.43%	1,838	99.57%	0	0.00%	
MAYANTOC	2,341	76	3.25%	2,265	96.75%	0	0.00%	
MONCADA	2,759	6	0.22%	2,753	99.78%	0	0.00%	
PANIQUI	769	6	0.78%	763	99.22%	0	0.00%	
PURA	1,101	4	0.36%	1,097	99.64%	0	0.00%	
RAMOS	640	1	0.16%	639	99.84%	0	0.00%	
SAN CLEMENTE	1,116	249	22.31%	867	77.69%	0	0.00%	
SAN JOSE	1,517	558	36.78%	959	63.22%	0	0.00%	
SAN MANUEL	9,309	8	0.09%	9,301	99.91%	0	0.00%	
SANTA IGNA- CIA	2,418	8	0.33%	2,410	99.67%	0	0.00%	
VICTORIA	3,313	10	0.30%	3,303	99.70%	0	0.00%	



Table 6.2 Number of Poor Household by Programs/Services availed

	i abie 0.2	Nulli	er or i	2001 L	iousenoid	ı by P	rogram	s/Services availed				
Tarlac	Total HHs	Scho	larship	Day Care Ser- vice / ECCD		mental Feed		Subsidiz	ed Rice	PhilHealth		
Municipality	46,956	956	2.04%	7,547	16.07%	1714	3.65%	17,477	37.22%	23,016	49.02%	
ANAO	458	7	1.53%	38	8.30%	23	5.02%	164	35.81%	372	81.22%	
BAMBAN	1,440	14	0.97%	260	18.06%	56	3.89%	936	65.00%	653	45.35%	
CAMILING	1,888	18	0.95%	153	8.10%	20	1.06%	1,255	66.47%	588	31.14%	
CAPAS	4,654	341	7.33%	1,172	25.18%	622	13.36%	415	8.92%	921	19.79%	
CONCEPCION	5,098	61	1.20%	1,640	32.17%	39	0.77%	566	11.10%	1,836	36.01%	
GERONA	3,686	80	2.17%	395	10.72%	84	2.28%	1,691	45.88%	1,923	52.17%	
LA PAZ	2,603	18	0.69%	571	21.94%	89	3.42%	2,050	78.76%	1,218	46.79%	
MAYANTOC	1,846	88	4.77%	282	15.28%	284	15.38%	1,464	79.31%	1,257	68.09%	
MONCADA	2,341	19	0.81%	149	6.36%	99	4.23%	2,107	90.00%	1,281	54.72%	
PANIQUI	2,759	95	3.44%	124	4.49%	26	0.94%	887	32.15%	1,330	48.21%	
PURA	769	15	1.95%	76	9.88%	123	15.99%	528	68.66%	617	80.23%	
RAMOS	1,101	7	0.64%	74	6.72%	92	8.36%	700	63.58%	809	73.48%	
SAN CLEMENTE	640	11	1.72%	32	5.00%	2	0.31%	316	49.38%	366	57.19%	
SAN MANUEL	1,116	0	0.00%	23	2.06%	6	0.54%	784	70.25%	416	37.28%	
SANTA IGNACIA	1,517	59	3.89%	757	49.90%	9	0.59%	1,311	86.42%	1,109	73.10%	
CITY OF TARLAC (Capital)	9,309	75	0.81%	772	8.29%	51	0.55%	728	7.82%	5,131	55.12%	
VICTORIA	2,418	30	1.24%	720	29.78%	73	3.02%	1,345	55.62%	1,578	65.26%	
SAN JOSE	3,313	18	0.54%	309	9.33%	16	0.48%	230	6.94%	1,611	48.63%	



Table 6.2 Number of Poor Household by Programs/Services availed

Tarlac	Total HHs	Skill/ Livelihood Training		Housing		Microcredit		Self Employ- ment Assis- tance	
Municipality	46,956	242	0.52%	506	1.08%	313	0.67%	115	0.24%
ANAO	458	1	0.22%	4	0.87%	0	0.00%	2	0.44%
BAMBAN	1,440	3	0.21%	215	14.93%	0	0.00%	1	0.07%
CAMILING	1,888	3	0.16%	2	0.11%	0	0.00%	1	0.05%
CAPAS	4,654	4	0.09%	181	3.89%	1	0.02%	27	0.58%
CONCEPCION	5,098	8	0.16%	23	0.45%	19	0.37%	9	0.18%
GERONA	3,686	9	0.24%	15	0.41%	1	0.03%	11	0.30%
LA PAZ	2,603	15	0.58%	2	0.08%	182	6.99%	0	0.00%
MAYANTOC	1,846	6	0.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
MONCADA	2,341	8	0.34%	1	0.04%	1	0.04%	0	0.00%
PANIQUI	2,759	12	0.43%	1	0.04%	1	0.04%	2	0.07%
PURA	769	21	2.73%	1	0.13%	3	0.39%	2	0.26%
RAMOS	1,101	7	0.64%	4	0.36%	1	0.09%	3	0.27%
SAN CLEMENTE	640	0	0.00%	4	0.63%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SAN MANUEL	1,116	1	0.09%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SANTA IGNACIA	1,517	66	4.35%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	21	1.38%
CITY OF TARLAC (Capital)	9,309	59	0.63%	51	0.55%	102	1.10%	7	0.08%
VICTORIA	2,418	17	0.70%	2	0.08%	2	0.08%	23	0.95%
SAN JOSE	3,313	2	0.06%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	6	0.18%



Table 6.2 Number of Poor Household by Programs/Services availed

Table 0.2 Number of Foor Household by Frograms/Services availed										
Tarlac	Total HHs	Pamily	tawid a Pilipino gram	Tra	er Cash ansfer ogram	Other S	Services	None		
Municipality	46,956	567	1.21%	137	0.29%	4,896	10.43%	12,108	25.79%	
ANAO	458	1	0.22%	1	0.22%	12	2.62%	58	12.66%	
BAMBAN	1,440	1	0.07%	0	0.00%	32	2.22%	204	14.17%	
CAMILING	1,888	2	0.11%	8	0.42%	156	8.26%	376	19.92%	
CAPAS	4,654	4	0.09%	24	0.52%	563	12.10%	1,970	42.33%	
CONCEPCION	5,098	383	7.51%	8	0.16%	376	7.38%	1,828	35.86%	
GERONA	3,686	54	1.47%	11	0.30%	95	2.58%	866	23.49%	
LA PAZ	2,603	0	0.00%	14	0.54%	1,189	45.68%	141	5.42%	
MAYANTOC	1,846	1	0.05%	0	0.00%	7	0.38%	88	4.77%	
MONCADA	2,341	0	0.00%	2	0.09%	56	2.39%	87	3.72%	
PANIQUI	2,759	1	0.04%	4	0.14%	88	3.19%	915	33.16%	
PURA	769	1	0.13%	10	1.30%	32	4.16%	39	5.07%	
RAMOS	1,101	66	5.99%	7	0.64%	155	14.08%	109	9.90%	
SAN CLEMENTE	640	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	10	1.56%	186	29.06%	
SAN MANUEL	1,116	1	0.09%	0	0.00%	16	1.43%	291	26.08%	
SANTA IGNACIA	1,517	19	1.25%	8	0.53%	73	4.81%	60	3.96%	
CITY OF TARLAC (Capital)	9,309	3	0.03%	24	0.26%	1,251	13.44%	3,139	33.72%	
VICTORIA	2,418	29	1.20%	15	0.62%	724	29.94%	332	13.73%	
SAN JOSE	3,313	1	0.03%	1	0.03%	61	1.84%	1,419	42.83%	



Department of Social Welfare and Development

National Household Targeting System for Poverty Reduction (NHTS-PR) Field Office III

Director Adelina S. Apostol

Regional Project Manager

Lalaine R. De Leon

Regional Deputy Project Manager

Gracia A. Zablan

Regional Focal Person

Czar Cornelius R. David

James Carlo S. Bustos

Regional Field Coordinator

Regional Information Technology Officer

Jessa O. Marzan

Regional Associate Statistician

Jonamay P. Sison

Administrative Assistant (Operations)

Alexis Myer I. Delicano

Administrative Assistant
(Information Officer)

December 2012

National Household Targeting System for Poverty Reduction (NHTS-PR)

Identifying

who and where the poor are

Department of Social Welfare and Development (DSWD)

Field Office 3

Government Center, Maimpis, City of San Fernando, Pampanga www.fo3@dswd.gov.ph

